

Nano-mechanics for Intelligent Materials (1/2)

Giorgio MATTEI



From sample mechanical behaviour to properties





Material mechanical properties

• Little consensus in the literature



S Marchesseau et al, Progr in Biophys and Mol Biol 103:185-96 (2010)



G Mattei and A Ahluwalia, Acta Biom 45:60-71 (2016)



Elastic, viscous and visco-elastic response



(a) Elastic response





- Originally proposed by Markus Reiner, professor at Technion in Israel, who chose the name inspired by a verse in the Bible "The mountains flowed before the Lord" in a song by prophet Deborah
 - For man in his relatively short lifetime the mountains are solid, but for the Lord with an infinite observation time, the mountains flow.





Examples of viscoelastic materials





Stress Relaxation



When a body is deformed (or strained) and that deformation (or strain) is held constant, stresses in the body reduce with time.

Figure from Fung "Biomechanics" 2nd ed.



Creep



When a body is loaded (or stressed) and the stress is held constant, the body continues to deform (or strain) with time.

Figure from Fung "Biomechanics" 2nd ed.







When a body subjected to cyclic loading, load-displacement (or stress-strain) behavior for increasing loads is different than behavior for decreasing loads. The area between the curves represents energy loss (dissipation).

Figure from Fung "Biomechanics" 2nd ed.



- Behavior exhibited by a material (or tissue) that has both viscous and elastic elements in its response to a deformation (or strain) or load (or stress)
- Represented by:
 - Spring for elastic element
 - Assumed to linearly elastic



$$\sigma=\muarepsilon$$
 (or $\sigma=Earepsilon$)

- Dashpot/damper for viscous element
 - Follows Newtonian fluid constitutive law



$$\sigma = \eta \frac{d\varepsilon}{dt}$$







Figure 2.11:1 Three mechanical models of viscoelastic material. (a) A Maxwell body, (b) a Voigt body, and (c) a Kelvin body (a standard linear solid).

The Maxwell, Voigt and Kelvin (SLS) models are all composed of combinations of linear springs (μ or E) and dashpots (η).

A linear spring with spring constant μ theoretically produces a deformation proportional to the load.

A linear dashpot with coefficient of viscosity η produces a **velocity** proportional to the load.



- Represented by a **purely viscous damper (** η **)** and a **purely elastic spring (***E***)** connected in series
- The model can be represented by the following differential equation (DEQ):



- Predicts/models a stress that decays exponentially with time to zero with permanent deformation
- Model **doesn't accurately predict creep** (constant stress). Predicts that strain will increase linearly with time. Actually strain rate decreases with time



- Represented by a Newtonian damper (η) and Hookean elastic spring (E) in parallel
- The model can be expressed as a linear first order DEQ

$$\sigma(t) = E\varepsilon(t) + \eta \frac{d\varepsilon(t)}{dt}$$



Creep and recovery response

- Represents a solid undergoing reversible viscoelastic strain
- Models a solid that is very stiff but will creep (e.g. crystals, glass, apparent behavior of cartilage). At constant stress (creep), predicts strain to tend to σ/E as time continues to infinity
- The model is not accurate for predicting stress-relaxation in a material/tissue



Stress applied at t=t₀ Stress removed at t=t₁

- Represented by a Hookean spring in parallel to a Newtonian damper + Hookean spring arm
- The model can be expressed as a linear first order DEQ:



- Represents a solid undergoing an elastic and a reversible viscoelastic strain
- At constant stress (creep), predicts an initial strain from the spring which will creep over time until the parallel spring carries all the applied load
- The model is a linear approximation of viscoelastic materials/tissues that are time dependent but completely recover from applied loads



Summary: creep and relaxation responses



(c) A Kelvin body (a standard linear solid)



Figure 2.11:1 Three mechanical models of viscoelastic material. (a) A Maxwell body, (b) a Voigt body, and (c) a Kelvin body (a standard linear solid).



Figure 2.11:3 Creep functions of (a) a Maxwell, (b) a Voigt, and (c) a standard linear solid. A negative phase is superposed at the time of unloading.



Figure 2.11:4 Relaxation functions of (a) a Maxwell, (b) a Voigt, and (c) a standard linear solid.



Generalized Maxwell model consists of Maxwell elements in parallel to a pure spring



• Generalized Voigt model consists of Voigt elements in series to a pure spring



Maxwell model creep

F

η



 $\leq \mu$

 η

F, *u*

$$\dot{u} = \dot{u}_s + \dot{u}_d \qquad \dot{u} = \frac{\dot{F}}{\mu} + \frac{\dot{H}}{\eta}$$
solve for $u(t)$ if $F(t) = 1(t)$

$$\int \dot{u} dt = \int \left(\frac{\dot{F}}{\mu} + \frac{F}{\eta}\right) dt \quad t > 0$$

$$u(t) = \frac{1(t)}{\mu} + \frac{t}{\eta} \quad t > 0$$

$$c(t) = \frac{1(t)}{\mu} + \frac{t}{\eta} \quad t > 0$$



- <u>Solving the DEQs</u> for the Maxwell, Voigt, and Kelvin models for displacement $\varepsilon = c(t)$, when the stress $\sigma(t)$ is a unit step function 1(t)
- We obtain a set of results known as the creep functions
- These functions represent the elongation (strain) in the viscoelastic material which is produced by a sudden application of unit stress at time = 0

 $C(t) = (1/\mu + t/\eta) 1(t)$ Maxwell

 $C(t) = 1/\mu (1-e-(\mu/\eta)t) 1(t)$ Voigt

 $C(t) = 1/E_R [1 - (1-\tau_e/\tau_\sigma)e-t/\tau_\sigma] 1(t)$ SLS

where $\tau_{\epsilon} = \eta_{1}/\mu_{1}$, $\tau_{\sigma} = (\eta_{1}/\mu_{0})(1 + \mu_{0}/\mu_{1})$, and $E_{R} = \mu_{0}$



Maxwell model relaxation



$$\frac{\dot{F}}{\mu} + \frac{F}{\eta} = \dot{u}$$
solve for $F(t)$ if $u(t) = 1(t)$

$$\frac{\dot{F}}{\mu} + \frac{F}{\eta} = 0 \implies \dot{F} + \frac{\mu}{\eta}F = 0 \quad t > 0$$

$$F(t) = Ce^{-\frac{\mu}{\eta}t}$$
solving for C from initial condition $F(0^+) = \mu$

$$k(t) = \mu e^{-\frac{\mu}{\eta}t} \quad t > 0$$



- Solving the DEQs for stress $[\sigma(t) = k(t)]$ when the applied strain is a unit step function $\varepsilon(t) = 1(t)$ yields the relaxation functions
- These represent the resisting stress as a function of time

 $k(t) = \mu e^{-(\mu/\eta)t} l(t) \qquad \text{Maxwell}$ $k(t) = \eta \delta(t) + \mu l(t) \qquad \text{Voigt}$ $k(t) = E_R [1 - (1 - \tau_\sigma/\tau_\varepsilon)e^{-t/\tau\varepsilon}] l(t) \qquad \text{SLS}$ where $\tau_c = \eta_1/\mu_1$, $\tau_\sigma = (\eta_1/\mu_0)(1 + \mu_0/\mu_1)$, and $E_R = \mu_0$



Linear model summary

Maxwell

- Good for predicting stress-relaxation
- Poor at predicting creep
- Used for soft solids with non-recoverable deformations

Voigt

- Good for predicting creep with small elastic deformation
- Not accurate with predicting stress relaxation
- Used for polymers, rubber, cartilage when the load is not too high

Standard Linear Solid

- Predicts both creep and stress-relaxation
- Fully recoverable & initial elastic displacement

Generalized

• Used for fitting experimental data to an arbitrary level of accuracy



- A popular technique to characterise material mechanical properties at the micro-scale
- Typically, a probe is brought in contact with a surface, pushed into the material and then retracted, recording load (P) and displacement (h) over time (t)



• The P-h-t data are then analysed with a range of models, such as elastic, elastoplastic, viscoelastic or poroviscoelastic, to derive material mechanical properties



- Ideal for **probing local gradients and heterogeneities** (typical of e.g. natural materials) and investigating their hierarchical multi-scale organization
- Does not require extensive sample preparation prior to testing (in contrast with most classical techniques, e.g. tensile testing which requires "dog-bone" shaped samples)
- Allows the measurement of very small forces and displacements (generally in the range of μN ÷ mN and nm ÷ μm, respectively)
- Requires small volumes of materials, and is thus particularly suitable for valuable samples
- Very small forces are applied, thus the technique is **well suited for soft biomaterials** (e.g. hydrogels), which due to their pliable and highly hydrated nature, are a challenge to characterise using macro-scale techniques



• A variety of deformation modes can be studied at typical cell length-scales by changing experimental time scales, indenter tip geometry and loading conditions





 Most commercial nano-indentation systems come with an automated x-y stage that allows spatial mapping of sample local mechanical properties





Why nanoindentation?

• The mechanical behavior of **biological tissues** generally changes with



• Thus, nanoindentation can also be attractive in the biomedical context as a **potential diagnostic** tool or for **engineering smart cell culture scaffold** based on **intelligent materials**

ELASTIC PROPERTIES





- Introduced in 1992 and revised in 2004, it is based on an elastic-plastic contact model and uses three key parameters from the indentation test:
 - the peak indenter force (P_{max})
 - the peak indenter displacement (h_{max})
 - the **unloading slope** or stiffness $(S = \partial P / \partial h)$

Load, P





• The Oliver–Pharr method begins by **fitting** the **unloading portion** of the indentation load–depth data to the **power-law relation** shown below:

$$P = lpha (h - h_f)^m$$

 Once the three fitting parameters a, m and h_f are obtained, the contact stiffness S, which is defined as the slope of the unloading curve at the maximum indentation depth, can be computed from

$$S=rac{dP}{dh}\Big|_{h=h_m}=Bm{(h_m-h_f')}^{m-1}$$

- The **contact depth** of the spherical indentation h_c can be calculated by following the Oliver–Pharr method as

$$h_c=h_m-0.75rac{P_m}{S}$$



• The contact area A_c can be computed directly from the contact depth h_c and the radius of the indenter tip R

$$A_c=\pi(2Rh_c-h_c^2)$$
 .

• The contact stiffness S and the contact area Ac are then used to **calculate the reduced** (or effective) **modulus**

$$E_r = rac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2eta} rac{S}{\sqrt{A_c}}$$

where β is a **dimensionless correction factor** which accounts for the deviation in stiffness due to the lack of axisymmetry of the indenter tip, with β =1.0 for axisymmetric indenters, β =1.012 for a square-based Vickers indenter, and β =1.034 for a triangular Berkovich punch

• $\beta = 1$ for spherical indenter tips



• After obtaining the reduced modulus E_r , the **indentation modulus** from the Oliver–Pharr method can be finally determined by

$$E_{op} = rac{1 - v_s^2}{(1/E_r) - ((1 - v_i^2)/E_i)}$$

where v_s is Poisson's ratio of the specimen, E_i and v_i are respectively the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio of the indenter. For ordinary single phase materials, the indentation modulus obtained is the elastic modulus of the specimen.

• If the indenter elastic modulus E_i is much larger than that of the specimen (e.g., SMAs, hydrogels, soft (bio)materials), the indenter can be treated as a rigid body and E_{op} can be simplified as

$$E_{op} = (1 - v_s^2)E_r$$



- The analysis of the **loading portion** of nano-indentation data collected with a spherical tip is generally based on the **Hertz model**, assuming a **linear elastic** and **isotropic material response**.
- The load P is expressed as:

$$P = \frac{4}{3} E_{eff} R^{1/2} h^{3/2}$$

where R is the radius of the spherical indenter tip, h is the penetration depth and E_{eff} denotes the effective composite elastic modulus of the indenter and specimen system given by:

$$\frac{1}{E_{eff}} = \frac{1 - v^2}{E} + \frac{1 - {v'}^2}{E'}$$

E' and v' respectively refer to the modulus and Poisson's ratio of the indenter, while the other terms refer to those of the sample



• For a rigid spherical indenter, Sneddon showed that the elastic displacements of a plane surface above and below the circle of contact are equal and given by h/2, with:



where *a* denotes the **contact radius during indentation**. Combining previous equations yields:

$$\frac{P}{\pi a^2} = \frac{4}{3\pi} E_{eff} \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)$$

The left side is generally referred to as the indentation stress (σ_{ind}) or mean contact pressure, while a/R on the right side represents the indentation strain (ε_{ind})



• In case of **soft materials**, where $E' \gg E$, it can be approximated that:

$$\frac{1}{E_{eff}} \approx \frac{1 - v^2}{E}$$

• Consequently, the sample elastic modulus can be derived as:

$$E = \frac{3(1 - v^2)P}{4R^{1/2}h^{3/2}}$$



Loading analysis issue: initial contact point

Commercial loadcontrolled nanoindenters





Even small trigger load can cause a significant pre-stress on soft samples

Ideal tests should start out of sample contact \Rightarrow need of displacement-controlled experiments and post-measurement identification of the initial contact point







• A possible solution...



Unique identification of the contact point both when

- Snap into contact is poorly evident
- ✓ Noise around zero load is present



• **Mechanical properties** representative of those of the **virgin material**, returning a **constant modulus** value regardless of the maximum load (or displacement) chosen for the measurements.





• Moreover, during unloading it is assumed that only the elastic displacements are recovered, thus **methods based on the unloading curve** are **unsuitable** for testing **viscoelastic materials**.



VISCO-ELASTIC PROPERTIES





- A creep test is based on applying a constant load and measuring the change in displacement over time due to viscoelastic phenomena
- Hertz-type viscoelastic theory can be used to obtain the effective creep compliance of a material under a step load (P₀, constant over time) imposed by a spherical indenter, obtaining:



$$J_{eff}(t) = \frac{4}{3} \frac{R^{\frac{1}{2}}}{P_0} h(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

In case of soft materials where $E' \gg E$, the creep compliance becomes:

$$J(t) = \frac{4}{3(1-v^2)} \frac{R^{\frac{1}{2}}}{P_0} h(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$





• Stress-relaxation can be considered the dual of creep test. It consists of measuring the load relaxation over time in response to a constant step indentation input (h_0) . Assuming $E' \gg E$, the relaxation modulus can be expressed as:





- G. Mattei, G. Gruca, N. Rijnveld, A. Ahluwalia, The nano-epsilon dot method for strain rate viscoelastic characterisation of soft biomaterials by spherical nano-indentation, J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 50 (2015) 150–159. doi:10.1016/j.jmbbm.2015.06.015.
- M.L. Oyen, Nanoindentation of biological and biomimetic materials, Exp. Tech. 37 (2013) 73-87. doi:10.1111/j.1747-1567.2011.00716.x.
- G. Mattei, A. Ahluwalia, Sample, testing and analysis variables affecting liver mechanical properties: A review, Acta Biomater. 45 (2016) 60–71. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2016.08.055.
- D. Roylance, Engineering viscoelasticity, Dep. Mater. Sci. Eng. Inst. Technol. Cambridge MA. 2139 (2001) 1–37.
- G. Mattei, L. Cacopardo, A. Ahluwalia, Micro-Mechanical Viscoelastic Properties of Crosslinked Hydrogels Using the Nano-Epsilon Dot Method, Materials (Basel). 10 (2017) 889. doi:10.3390/ma10080889.
- H. van Hoorn, N.A. Kurniawan, G.H. Koenderink, D. lannuzzi, Local dynamic mechanical analysis for heterogeneous soft matter using ferrule-top indentation, Soft Matter. 12 (2016) 3066–3073. doi:10.1039/C6SM00300A.
- M.L. Oyen, R.F. Cook, A practical guide for analysis of nanoindentation data, J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 2 (2009) 396–407. doi:10.1016/j.jmbbm.2008.10.002.
- G. Mattei, A. Tirella, G. Gallone, A. Ahluwalia, Viscoelastic characterisation of pig liver in unconfined compression, J. Biomech. 47 (2014) 2641–2646. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.05.017.



Contacts

Giorgio MATTEI

Assistant Professor in Bioengineering

University of Pisa Dept. of Information Engineering Largo Lucio Lazzarino 1 56122 Pisa – Italy

Phone: +39 050 2218239 Mail: giorgio.mattei@unipi.it

