A Manipulation Framework for Compliant Humanoid COMAN: Application to a Valve Turning Task

Arash Ajoudani, Jinoh Lee, Alessio Rocchi, Mirko Ferrati, Enrico Mingo Hoffman, Alessandro Settimi, Darwin G. Caldwell, Antonio Bicchi, and Nikos G. Tsagarakis

Abstract—With the purpose of achieving a desired interaction performance for our compliant humanoid robot (COMAN), in this paper we propose a semi-autonomous control framework and evaluate it experimentally in a valve turning setup. The control structure consists of various modules and interfaces to identify the valve, locate the robot in front of it and perform the manipulation. The manipulation module implements four motion primitives (Reach, Grasp, Rotate and Disengage) and realizes the corresponding desired impedance profile for each phase to accomplish the task. In this direction, to establish a stable and compliant contact between the valve and the robot hands, while being able to generate the sufficient rotational torques depending on the valve’s friction, Rotate incorporates a novel dual-arm impedance control technique to plan and realize a task-appropriate impedance profile. Results of the implementation of the proposed control framework are firstly evaluated in simulation studies using Gazebo. Subsequent experimental results highlight the efficiency of the proposed impedance planning and control in generation of the required interaction forces to accomplish the task.

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the years, the occurrence of major adverse events resulting from the natural processes of the Earth (e.g. earthquakes, floods, etc), their consequences (e.g. Fukushima disaster), and system faults and failures (e.g. Chernobyl disaster) have caused countless deaths and property damage. Due to the inevitable nature of such catastrophes, it is extremely important to employ effective and efficient robotic systems to assist in the execution of the tasks in unstructured and hostile environments. This will, however, cause intense human-robot interaction challenges for the victims and the operators, highlighting the need for the humanoid robots to robustly and safely interact with uncertain environments [1].

Some of the requirements have been addressed by introducing variable stiffness or torque controlled robots which can tune the physical characteristics of their joints by active or passive control techniques [2]–[4]. Other avenues of research seek for the creation of robust control frameworks for such systems with high degrees of freedom (DOF) [5]–[7] to provide capabilities to adapt to features of the environment.

With the aim to realize a robust manipulation framework, in this work, a realtime control architecture for our intrinsically compliant humanoid robot (COMAN [2]) is developed and its functionality and performance is verified by preliminary experimental trials. The proposed control framework incorporates various modules with an interface between the higher-level sensing and the lower-level control. While our final goal is to realize a fully autonomous system, currently, the user intention is combined with the autonomy of the robot controller to perform a valve turning task, a realistic example of prevention or disaster response, which has been chosen as one of the target tasks for the DARPA Robotics Challenge (DRC) [8].

The problem of robotic valve turning has been investigated in some studies: in [9], an arm-aircraft system for valve turning is presented and experimentally validated. In this work, a teleoperation interface is developed to actuate the manipulators and perform the turning operation. Authors in [10] propose a whole-body control architecture for a humanoid robot to perform heavy tasks such as pushing a wall and twisting a valve. In another setup, a kuka lightweight robot arm is utilized to manipulate the valve using an imitation learning approach [11]. An extended Kalman filter is adopted to improve the accuracy of the sensed valve position and a decision rule is implemented using a fuzzy system.

It is well-known that to achieve an effective interaction with the uncertain environment, task-efficient restoring forces must be applied in response to the environmental displacements. To establish such a relation, Cartesian impedance control, as a well recognized framework can be utilized to realize a desired and task-efficient Cartesian stiffness matrix. As a result, a target manipulation task can be executed effectively and naturally. To that end, this paper pretenses an alternative solution to the robotic valve turning problem, benefiting from the physical compliance and active control techniques. In this direction, a novel dual-arm impedance control framework is developed and integrated into the control architecture of our intrinsically compliant robot. The proposed interaction controller avoids the generation of high interaction forces along the constraint directions of the hands in contact with the valve. In the meantime, task-efficient rotational torques are applied to turn the valve. This is achieved due to the implementation of an update law in our manipulation framework to adjust the realized Cartesian stiffness profile of the arms depending on the existing valve joint friction.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: our intrinsically compliant humanoid robot and the software interfaces are introduced in section II. The control architecture, mainly
focusing on the manipulation framework, is discussed in section III. Results of the implementation of the proposed control framework in simulation studies and real experiments are reported in section IV. Finally, section V addresses the conclusions.

II. INTRINSICALLY COMPLIANT HUMANOID

A. COMAN

COMAN [2] (Fig. 1. A) is a torque controlled robot with 31-DOF, 14 of which are based on Series Elastic Actuation that is used to enhance the physical interaction performance of the robot. The robot has 6 DOFs for each leg, 7 DOFs in each arm, 3 DOFs in the waist, 2 DOFs in the neck, and is equipped with two Pisa/IIT SoftHands (see next section). The passive compliance of the joints are effective to absorb the high bandwidth impacts. Each joint provides position, velocity and torque sensing, while decentralized position and active impedance control are built in each DSP board at 1KHz RT. The robot is equipped with an IMU in the waist and four 6-axes force/torque sensors in the ankles and forearms. The robot will have a Carnegie Robotics MultiSense S7 sensor\(^1\) mounted as a head, but we are currently using an RGB-D camera (Asus Xtion Pro Live) mounted above the torso and two cameras strapped at the forearms for perception.

B. Pisa/IIT SoftHand

To solve for the control complexity problem and the robustness issues raised by the usage of the poly-articulated hands, the Pisa/IIT SoftHand (Fig. 1. B, [13]) was developed in a partnership between the "E. Piaggio" center of the University of Pisa and the Advanced Robotics department of the Italian Institute of Technology. Using the adaptive synergy concept [14], the anthropomorphic hand was designed with 19 DOFs and actuated by one DC motor which drives the hand joints along the first synergy of the human hand movement. To address the robustness issues, SoftHand incorporates rolling contact joints with elastic ligaments which ensure anatomically correct motion when actuated, but easily disengage on impact to allow safe interaction with the uncertain environment. The elastic ligaments also allow deformation while ensuring the hand returns to its original configuration.

C. Robot Interfaces

The robot is controlled using the YARP [15] framework while all the perception is handled by the ROS framework [16]. The low-level library Robolli communicates with the DSP boards, while YARP is used for high-level communication between control modules. The DSP boards implement a decentralized joint impedance control running at 1KHz real-time. The comanInterface module uses the Robolli library to expose the DSP functionalities at the YARP level, where we have access to impedance control, joint configuration, speed and torque measurements, IMU readings and force-torque readings at the ankles and forearms, again at 1KHz. The manipulation (valveTurnModule) module is written using YARP functionalities while the kinematic and dynamic model of the robot is specified using the URDF\(^2\) format, parsed by the IdynTree\(^3\) library to obtain forward kinematics, Jacobians and dynamics data. This module compiles to a simple communication protocol to control state transitions in an internal state machine. In particular start and stop commands can be issued on a switch input port, while by writing Cartesian coordinates on the valve--data input port an external sensing module can provide the manipulation module a relative position/orientation of the valve w.r.t. the torso frame of reference, \(\Sigma_t\). Lastly, a command port accepts manipulation primitives to reach to the object, grasp it, manipulate it (rotate the valve), release it, and disengage (the overall system infrastructure is depicted in Fig. 2).

The valve is reached by the robot through a walking (flatWalk) module that accepts forward, lateral, and rotate in place commands. The Pilot Interface [17] shows the actual configuration of the robot and the point cloud of the scene given by a 3D camera which is used to recognize the valve with respect to a robot local frame of reference (see Fig. 3).

III. CONTROL ARCHITECTURE

A. Motion Primitives

As mentioned above, the valve data (position and the orientation of the center of rotation, and the valve radius) is sensed using an RGB-D camera and translated and defined in the torso frame of reference, \(\Sigma_t\), to take into account the required adjustments due to the lower-body movements. The manipulation module first calculates two sets of desired grasping position and orientation for the right and left hand, with a small offset distance from the valve chassis. Consequently,

\[1\]http://carnegierobotics.com/multisense-s7/

\[2\]http://wiki.ros.org/urdf

\[3\]http://wiki.icub.org/codyco/dox/html/group-iDynTree.html
the valve turning task is broken down into four motion primitives: reaching (Reach), approaching to/grasping the valve (Grasp), rotating the valve (Rotate) and releasing/moving far from the valve (Disengage). The transition between the phases of the task is achieved using a state machine paradigm and can be controlled/interfered by the user. With the aim to maintain the body balance, COMAN lower-body is controlled by a stabilization framework, previously proposed in [18]. However, in presence of higher external forces in more intensive manipulation tasks (such as debris removal), this problem must be addressed in a whole-body framework.

Reaching primitive utilizes the right, $\tilde{T}_R(q)$, and the left, $\tilde{T}_L(q)$, arm end-effector Jacobians (with respect to the torso frame of reference, see Fig. 4) and implements a closed loop inverse kinematics algorithm (CLIK) to reach to the desired left and right hand positions. Smooth point-to-point trajectories for both hands are generated by the trajectory generation module using a fifth order nonlinear function. Once the SoftHands reach to the desired positions, Grasp primitive makes them approach to the valve and grasp it firmly. Consequently, the circular trajectories (using the developed trajectory generation module) are built for both hands, by taking into account the valve data, and realized by the impedance controllers of the arms (see section below). The rotation angle can be re-defined using the pilot interface. A singularity robust generalized inverse [20] is implemented for all phases while the self motion of the two arms are controlled by projecting the gradient of a cost function proposed in [21] into the nullspace of the arm Jacobians to possibly avoid the occurrence of the joint limits.

### B. Interaction Control

To render a desired interaction performance using our manipulation control framework, the desired Cartesian stiffness matrix is defined in task coordinates and the required joint stiffness values are calculated using the corresponding conservative congruence transformation [22]. Even though the realization of the desired Cartesian stiffness matrix will be subject to uncertainty due to the inadequacy of the control parameters in joint coordinates (i.e. joint stiffness), the implementation of the joint impedance controller is preferred over the pure torque control technique due to its improved robustness and stability [25].

To plan for the variable impedance, during the Reaching and Disengage phases, a relatively compliant and fixed Cartesian stiffness matrix, $\mathbf{J}_d \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 6}$, is defined at left arm end-effector ($\Sigma_L$) and right arm end-effector ($\Sigma_R$) frames with respect to $\Sigma_t$. This consideration is taken into account to

4Approach motion primitive finely adjusts positions of the two hands to facilitate the grasping of the valve frame.

5For instance by including the center of mass Jacobian in the control architecture [19].
avoid the generation of high interaction forces in case of any unexpected collision with the environment. Consequently, the required joint stiffness values of each arm \((K_{fr} \text{ and } K_{fr})\) are calculated using the following linear optimization problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad \|K_J - T^e J(q)^T T K_d T^e J(q)\|_F \\
\text{subject to} & \quad k_{j_{\text{min}}} < k_j < k_{j_{\text{max}}}
\end{align*}
\]

with \(T^e J(q)\) and \(K_J\) being the Jacobian and the diagonal joint stiffness matrices of the corresponding arm. The above optimization is performed for both arms separately and synchronously. \(\|\|\|\|_F\) symbolizes the Frobenius norm operator, and \(k_{j_{\text{min}}} \text{ and } k_{j_{\text{max}}}\) correspond the minimum and maximum allowable (which take into account the stability boundaries for COMAN’s joint impedance controller [25]) joint stiffness gain of the \(i\)th arm joint, where \(i \in \mathbb{R}^7\).

While rotating, the aim is to realize a robust and stable contact between the valve and the two arm end-effectors. Therefore, it is essential to avoid the generation of high interaction forces between the two hands and the valve frame. To achieve this, we consider a diagonal desired stiffness matrix \(K_{d} \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 6}\), with respect to the end-effector frames. To realize equivalent stiffness profiles for both arms, the stiffness parameter, \(c_s\), is updated experimentally; \(k_{d_{旧}}\) and \(k_{d_{新}}\) correspond to the old and the updated value of the stiffness component at each iteration. This must be noted here that \(c_s\) must be chosen by taking into account the stability of the closed loop system. In addition, this parameter may need to be adjusted if the task requirements meet substantial changes. In our future work we focus on this issue and provide on-line identification of this parameter using interaction torque observers or learning approaches.

To avoid the integration of the stiffness component for small values of \(e_s\), the update law is applied if the position error exceeds a predefined value, \(e_{s}\), in addition, to avoid the winding up effect, a saturation level is considered for \(k_{d_{旧}}\), meaning that the update law will be issued until \(k_{d_{旧}} < k_{d_{新}}\). At each iteration, the required joint stiffness values for both arms are calculated from (5), accordingly.

In all phases, the desired Cartesian damping matrix is designed using a technique proposed in [26], where the desired Cartesian stiffness matrix \(K_d\), is factorized\(^7\) using a nonsingular matrix \(Q\) and a diagonal matrix \(B\) as follows

\[K_d = Q B Q^T.\] (7)

Consequently, a diagonal damping matrix \(D_d = \text{diag}\{\xi_i\}\) with \(\xi = 0.7\) denoting the damping coefficient, is formed and used for the planning of the desired Cartesian damping matrix \(D_d\),

\[D_d = 2Q D_dQ^T B \xi \frac{1}{2},\] (8)

and projected into the joint space using the corresponding conservative congruence transformation (see details in [26], [27]). Eventually, calculated joint damping and stiffness parameters are tracked by COMAN’s joint impedance controller.

IV. RESULTS

Simulation Environment: Prior to the experiments, the efficiency of the integrated control architecture in rendering a desired motion and interaction performance is evaluated using Gazebo simulator\(^8\). Gazebo is a well-recognized and open-source simulator that can handle different Dynamic Engines (DART, Bullet, SimBody, and ODE which is used in our simulations). To establish the connection between the COMAN model, our modules and Gazebo, a set of plugins for the Gazebo simulator that enables the interoperability between a robot, controlled using the YARP framework, and Gazebo itself has been implemented [28]. A model of the

\(^7\)Required matrix calculations in C++ environment is implemented using Armadillo library, http://arma.sourceforge.net/.

\(^8\)http://gazebosim.org/
Experimental Results: Two valves with adjustable values of the torsional springs were mounted on a variable height stand (Fig. 1. C). Using the pilot interface, the COMAN robot was located in front of the valves exploiting flatwalk. Consequently, the valve data was sensed by perception module and used for the planning and control of the desired grasping locations, motion primitives and the impedance profiles using manipulation module.

Figure 6 illustrates different phases of the task execution where a relatively low and fixed endpoint stiffness profile (with respect to $\Sigma$, with $200 \frac{N}{m}$ for translational and $50 \frac{Nm}{rad}$ for rotational components) was considered for both arm end-effectors during Reaching and Disengage phases. After Reaching, SoftHands grasped the valve and molded around its chassis due to the implemented concept of soft synergies and the existence of passive elasticity in the finger joints. Once the valve was firmly grasped by the two SoftHands, the user triggered the rotation state. In this phase, the desired diagonal Cartesian stiffness matrix (with respect to $\Sigma_L$ and $\Sigma_R$, with $[200 100 100 \ 100 200 \ 100] \frac{N}{m}$ for translational and $50 \frac{Nm}{rad}$ for rotational components) was considered to realize a stable grasp, avoiding the generation of high interaction forces across the two SoftHands ($\approx y$ direction) or perpendicular to the valve surface ($\approx z$ direction).

To overcome the existing friction in the valve axis, the preset Cartesian stiffness component along the valve rotation ($x$ direction in $\Sigma_L$ and $\Sigma_R$) must be updated to comply with the circular motion of the SoftHands around the valve axis. To achieve this, using (5) and (6), the desired stiffness component $k_{xj}$ was updated and the required joint stiffness values were calculated. Fig. 7 illustrates the interaction forces at the wrist of the SoftHand for the left $F_L$, and the right hand $F_R$, in rotation phase. The maximum tracking error ($e_x$) and the updated stiffness parameter ($k_{xj}$) are shown in the two bottom plots, respectively.

Fig. 5: Simulation results of the valve turning experiment using Gazebo simulator. (1) Dual arm reaching and (2) grasping (pushing towards the valve) motion primitives are followed by (3) rotating and (4) disengage.

Fig. 7: Two top plots illustrate the acquired interaction forces at the wrist of the SoftHand for left $F_L$, and the right hand $F_R$, in rotation phase. The maximum tracking error ($e_x$) and the updated stiffness parameter ($k_{xj}$) are shown in the two bottom plots, respectively.
tracking of the valve rotation trajectory is realized and \(e_x\) is converged to a low value. Acquired interaction forces demonstrate the compliance of the grasp along all directions, except the one coinciding with the valve rotation direction, in which sufficient rotational torques are applied on the valve axis. The realized (red, solid) and the desired (blue, dashed) components of the translational Cartesian stiffness matrix for the right and the left arm endpoints in Rotation primitive are illustrated in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively. Realized stiffness profiles are calculated based on the actual arm configurations, joint stiffness values and external forces [22].

To illustrate the efficiency of the proposed update law in generation of the task-required rotational torque (by adjusting the corresponding stiffness component), a similar experiment was performed using a lower friction coefficient on the valve’s axis of rotation. As demonstrated in Fig. 10, the stiffness component \(k_{d_x}\) is adjusted and converged to a lower value while the task is being accomplished. This highlights the task-efficiency of the proposed update law in rendering the desired interaction performance.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, a control architecture, incorporating a novel manipulation framework for the compliant humanoid robot (COMAN) was proposed and experimentally validated in
a valve turning task. The interaction controller established a stable and compliant contact between the SoftHands and the valve to avoid the generation of high interaction forces in contacts. An update law was implemented to adjust the realized Cartesian stiffness profile of the two arm endpoints relying on the existing friction in the valve axis.

Preliminary results of the implementation of COMAN’s control framework demonstrated stable and robust manipulation capabilities, highlighting the potential of the underlying techniques for disaster robotics.
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Fig. 10: The maximum tracking error, $e_x$, and the updated stiffness parameter, $\kappa_{s,x}$, for an experiment in which the valve friction was adjusted to a lower value compared to Fig. 7.